

CONSTRUCTIVE DECOMPOSITION OF FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES USING FUNCTIONS OF ONE VARIABLE

EVA TRENKLEROVÁ

ABSTRACT. Given a compact set K in the plane, which contains no triple of points forming a vertical and a horizontal segment, and a map $f \in C(K)$, we give a construction of functions $g, h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x, y) = g(x) + h(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in K$. This provides a constructive proof of a part of Sternfeld's theorem on basic embeddings in the plane. In the proof we construct a sequence of finite graphs, which provide an arbitrarily good approximation of the set K .

1. INTRODUCTION

An embedding (continuous one-to-one map with continuous inverse) $\varphi: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ of a compactum (compact metric space) K into the k -dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^k$ is called a *basic embedding* provided that for each continuous real-valued function $f \in C(K)$, there exist continuous real-valued functions of one real variable $g_1, \dots, g_k \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g_1(x_1) + \dots + g_k(x_k)$, for all points $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \varphi(K)$. We also say, that the set $\varphi(K)$ is *basically embedded* in $\mathbb{R}^k$.

The question of the existence of basic embeddings was already implicitly contained in Hilbert's 13th problem: Hilbert conjectured, that not all continuous functions of three variables were expressible as sums and superpositions of continuous functions of a smaller number of variables.

Ostrand [Ost65] proved, that each n -dimensional compactum can be basically embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, for $n \geq 0$. His result is a generalization of results by Arnold [Arn57, Arn59] and Kolmogorov [Kol56, Kol57]. Sternfeld [Ste85] proved, that the parameter $2n + 1$ is the best possible in a very strong sense: namely, that no n -dimensional compactum can be basically embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, for $n \geq 2$. Ostrand's and Sternfeld's results thus characterize compacta basically embeddable into $\mathbb{R}^k$ for $k \geq 3$. Basic embeddability into the real line is trivially equivalent to embeddability. The remaining problem of the characterization of compacta basically embeddable into $\mathbb{R}^2$, which was already raised by Arnold [Arn58], was solved by Sternfeld [Ste89]:

Theorem 1.1 (Sternfeld). *Let K be a compactum and let $\varphi: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be an embedding. Then*

- (B) *φ is a basic embedding if and only if*
- (A) *there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set $\varphi(K)$ contains no array on m points.*

Date: February 26, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C30, 54F50; Secondary 05C90, 54C25.

Key words and phrases. basic embedding; plane compactum; Kolmogorov representation theorem; Hilbert's 13th problem; finite set-approximation.

The author was supported by grants VEGA 1/3002/06 and VEGA 1/3128/06.

Definition 1.1. An *array* is a sequence of points in the plane $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$, where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ or $I = \mathbb{N}$, such that for each i :

- $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$ and $[a_i; a_{i+1}]$ is a segment parallel to one of the coordinate axes and
- the segments $[a_i; a_{i+1}]$ and $[a_{i+1}; a_{i+2}]$ are mutually orthogonal.

Using the geometric description (A), Skopenkov [Sko95] gave a characterization of continua basically embeddable into the plane by means of forbidden subsets; the characterization resembles Kuratowski's characterization of planar graphs. In a similar way Kurlin [Kur00] characterized finite graphs basically embeddable into $\mathbb{R} \times T_n$, where T_n is a star with n -rays. Repovš and Željko [RŽ05] proved a result concerning the smoothness of functions in a basic embedding in the plane.

However, Sternfeld's proof of the equivalence (A) $\Leftrightarrow$ (B) is not direct, but uses a reduction to linear operators. In particular it is not constructive. It is therefore desirable to find a straightforward, constructive proof which will consequently provide an elementary proof of Skopenkov's and Kurlin's characterizations. A constructive proof of (B) $\Rightarrow$ (A) is given in [MKT03].

In this paper we give an elementary constructive proof of the implication (A) $\Rightarrow$ (B), provided that $m = 3$:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\varphi: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be an embedding of a compactum K into the plane such that the set $\varphi(K)$ contains no array on three points. Then for every function $f \in C(\varphi(K))$ there exist functions $g, h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x, y) = g(x) + h(y)$ for all points $(x, y) \in \varphi(K)$.*

It turns out, that even if the set $\varphi(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ contains no array on three points, a constructive decomposition of a function $f \in C(\varphi(K))$ is a non-trivial problem. We also believe, that the proof can be modified as so as to obtain a constructive proof of the implication (A) $\Rightarrow$ (B) for an arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The author would like to thank an anonymous referee for pointing out a short and elegant proof of Theorem 1.2 which however does not give an explicit construction. We give his proof in the last section.

The idea of our proof is to approximate the set $\varphi(K)$ by a finite sequence graphs $\{\Gamma^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$; with increasing n the approximation becomes finer. The property that $\varphi(K)$ contains no array on three points ensures that if the approximation is fine enough, then the graph does not contain any subgraph which "resembles" an array on three points (Theorem 2.1). For a given $f \in C(\varphi(K))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we consider a fine-enough graph Γ^n and construct a function $g^n: V(\Gamma^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the vertices of the graph (Theorem 2.4). Using g^n we construct functions $g, h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ whose sum on $\varphi(K)$ does not differ from f by more than a constant times ε and whose norms are bounded by $2\|f\|$ (Theorem 2.5). By an iterative procedure we obtain a decomposition of f on $\varphi(K)$ (Theorem 2.6).

The author would like to thank Dušan Repovš and Arkadyi Skopenkov for the inspiration for this paper, Lev Bukovský for support and especially Neža Mramor-Kosta for endless conversations on the topic and invaluable advice.

2. PROOF

Throughout the text we fix an embedding $\varphi: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ of a compactum K into the plane such that the set $\varphi(K)$ contains no array on three points. For simplicity of notation we identify the set K and its homeomorphic image $\varphi(K)$ and we shall

speak about a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. We also fix a function $f \in C(K)$, a positive real $\varepsilon > 0$ and a positive real $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad |x_1 - x_2| < 2\delta \text{ implies that } |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \varepsilon$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in K$. We denote by $p, q: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the vertical and horizontal orthogonal projections: $p(x, y) = x$, $q(x, y) = y$. We denote points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ by (x, y) , we denote intervals in $\mathbb{R}$ by $(x; y)$, $[x; y]$, etc. and segments by $[x; y]$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a unique graph $\Gamma^n = (V(\Gamma^n), E(\Gamma^n))$, which reflects certain properties of the set K : Consider a point-lattice given by points $(i/2^n, j/2^n)$ with $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The vertex set $V(\Gamma^n)$ consists of all points $(i/2^n, j/2^n)$ such that the square $[i/2^n; (i+1)/2^n] \times [j/2^n; (j+1)/2^n]$ meets the set K . The edge set $E(\Gamma^n)$ consists of all two-element sets $\{u_1, u_2\}$ of vertices which have the same vertical or horizontal projection, or are neighbors in the lattice in one of the projections, i.e.: $|p(u_1) - p(u_2)| \leq 1/2^n$ or $|q(u_1) - q(u_2)| \leq 1/2^n$.

An edge $\{u_1, u_2\}$ is denoted by $u_1 u_2$. If $|p(u_1) - p(u_2)| \leq 1/2^n$ then we say that the edge $u_1 u_2$ is *vertical*. If $|q(u_1) - q(u_2)| \leq 1/2^n$ then we say that the edge $u_1 u_2$ is *horizontal*. Hence, an edge may be both vertical and horizontal. A *path* is a sequence $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k$ of pairwise different vertices such that each two consecutive ones form an edge; it is denoted by $u_0 u_1 \dots u_k$.

The following is the crucial statement about the geometric properties of the constructed graphs. The result follows from the property that the set K contains no array on three points.

Theorem 2.1. *For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ the following holds: if $u_0 u_1 \dots u_k$ is a path in Γ^n such that*

$$(2) \quad |p(u_0) - p(u_1)| \geq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |q(u_{k-1}) - q(u_k)| \geq \alpha$$

then its length is greater than l , i.e.:

$$k > l.$$

Proof. First, let us note the following. Let $\{\Gamma^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of graphs and $\{u_1^n u_2^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of edges, with each $u_1^n u_2^n \in E(\Gamma^n)$. Then, since K is compact, there is a subsequence $\{m_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that both $u_1^{m_n} \rightarrow u_1 \in K$ and $u_2^{m_n} \rightarrow u_2 \in K$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From the graph construction it follows, that either $u_1 = u_2$ or $[u_1; u_2]$ is a segment parallel to one of the coordinate axes. Moreover, if $|p(u_1^{m_n}) - p(u_2^{m_n})| \geq \alpha > 0$ for each n , then $[u_1; u_2]$ is a segment parallel to the x -axis, with $|p(u_1) - p(u_2)| \geq \alpha$. Similarly for the q -projection.

The proof of the theorem is indirect. Assume, that for some l_0 and α_0 there exists an infinite sequence of graphs $\{\Gamma^{m_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each graph Γ^{m_n} contains a path $u_0^{m_n} u_1^{m_n} \dots u_{k^{m_n}}^{m_n}$ for which (2) holds true but its length k^{m_n} is not greater than l_0 , i.e. $k^{m_n} \leq l_0$. Since the lengths of the paths are limited by l_0 , an infinite number of them has the same length. Without loss of generality we may assume that $k^{m_n} = l_0$ for all n .

As we noted in the beginning of the proof it follows, that there exist limit points $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{l_0} \in K$, such that either $u_i = u_{i+1}$ or $[u_i; u_{i+1}]$ is a segment parallel to one of the coordinate axes, for each i . In particular, (2) implies, that $[u_0; u_1]$ is a horizontal segment and $[u_{l_0-1}; u_{l_0}]$ is a vertical segment. It follows, that the set $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{l_0}\} \subseteq K$ contains an array on at least three points. $\square$

We call an edge $u_1u_2 \in E(\Gamma^n)$ *long* if $|u_1 - u_2| \geq \delta$ and we call it *short* if $|u_1 - u_2| < \delta$. Let

- Γ_{short}^n be the subgraph of Γ^n induced by all short edges
- Γ_{hor}^n be the subgraph of Γ^n induced by all long horizontal edges
- Γ_{vert}^n be the subgraph of Γ^n induced by all long vertical edges.

Theorem 2.1 in particular implies that for a fine enough approximation the graph Γ^n does not contain any triple of vertices u_1, u_2, u_3 with u_1u_2 a long horizontal edge and u_2u_3 a long vertical edge. Such a triple “resembles” in a way an array on three points. Stated differently:

Corollary 2.2. *There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ the graphs Γ_{hor}^n and Γ_{vert}^n are disjoint.*

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we fix a function $f^n : V(\Gamma^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, whose value in a given vertex is equal to the value of f in some point of K which lies in the corresponding square. That is, for each vertex $u \in V(\Gamma^n)$ we fix a point x_u which lies in $K \cap ([p(u); p(u) + 1/2^n) \times [q(u); q(u) + 1/2^n])$ and let

$$f^n(u) = f(x_u).$$

Assumption (1) implies the following:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1/2^n < \delta$. If $u_1u_2 \in E(\Gamma_{\text{short}}^n)$ then $|f^n(u_1) - f^n(u_2)| < \varepsilon$.*

Theorem 2.4. *There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ there exists a function $g^n : V(\Gamma^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *For each edge $u_1u_2 \in E(\Gamma^n)$:*
 - (a) *if $u_1u_2 \in E(\Gamma_{\text{short}}^n)$ then $|g^n(u_1) - g^n(u_2)| \leq \varepsilon$*
 - (b) *if $u_1u_2 \in E(\Gamma_{\text{hor}}^n)$ then $|f^n(u_1) - g^n(u_1)| \leq \varepsilon$*
 - (c) *if $u_1u_2 \in E(\Gamma_{\text{vert}}^n)$ then $g^n(u_1) = 0$*
- (2) $\|g^n\| \leq \|f^n\|$.

Proof. Denote

$$(3) \quad F = \left\lceil \frac{\|f\|}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil.$$

Take n_0 from Theorem 2.1 corresponding to $l = F + 1$ and $\alpha = \delta$. Take an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq n_0$ and $1/2^n < \delta$.

Let Γ_+^n be the subgraph of Γ^n induced by the vertices u with $f^n(u) \geq 0$ and let Γ_-^n be the subgraph of Γ^n induced by the vertices u with $f^n(u) < 0$. We shall define g^n separately on Γ_+^n and Γ_-^n . So, consider Γ_+^n .

If $\Gamma_+^n \cap \Gamma_{\text{hor}}^n = \emptyset$ (i.e. the graph Γ_+^n contains no vertex, which is the end of a long horizontal edge), then let $g^n(u) = 0$ for all $u \in V(\Gamma_+^n)$.

If $\Gamma_+^n \cap \Gamma_{\text{hor}}^n \neq \emptyset$, then we first modify the graph Γ_+^n by adding certain vertices and edges and removing some edges, and afterward we define g^n on the modified graph. The modified graph is denoted by H_+^n .

Let

$$V(H_+^n) = V(\Gamma_+^n) \cup \{w_0 \dots w_F\}.$$

We define f^n on $\{w_0 \dots w_F\}$ by letting

$$f^n(w_i) = i\varepsilon$$

for each i . Note that $f^n(w_F) \approx \|f\|$. The edge-set $E(H_+^n)$ consists of

- the short edges from $E(\Gamma_+^n)$
- the edges $w_i w_{i+1}$ for all i
- edges connecting some vertices of $V(\Gamma_+^n)$ to the vertices w_i : each vertex $u \in V(\Gamma_+^n) \cap V(\Gamma_{\text{hor}}^n)$ is connected to the vertex w_i such that $\lfloor f^n(u)/\varepsilon \rfloor = i$.

So, let

$$E(H_+^n) = E(\Gamma_+^n \cap \Gamma_{\text{short}}^n) \cup \{w_0 w_1, w_1 w_2, \dots, w_{F-1} w_F\} \cup \bigcup_{u \in V(\Gamma_+^n) \cap V(\Gamma_{\text{hor}}^n)} \{w_i u \mid \lfloor f^n(u)/\varepsilon \rfloor = i\}.$$

The above definitions together with Lemma 2.3 imply that

$$(4) \quad |f^n(u_1) - f^n(u_2)| \leq \varepsilon$$

for each edge $u_1 u_2 \in E(H_+^n)$.

Now we define g^n on $V(H_+^n)$. Let $d: V(H_+^n) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the function, which assigns to each vertex connected by a path to the vertex w_F its distance from w_F , and which assigns to each vertex not connected by a path to w_F the value 0. For each vertex $u \in V(H_+^n)$ let

$$(5) \quad g^n(u) = \max\{(F - d(u))\varepsilon, 0\}.$$

Analogously we construct the graph H_-^n and the define function $g^n: V(H_-^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Let us show that the function g^n defined in this way satisfies all the points from the statement of the theorem.

1(a) Let $u_1 u_2 \in E(\Gamma_{\text{short}}^n)$. If $u_1 u_2 \in E(\Gamma_+^n)$ or $u_1 u_2 \in E(\Gamma_-^n)$, then $|g^n(u_1) - g^n(u_2)| \leq \varepsilon$ follows directly from definition of g^n . So, let $u_1 \in V(\Gamma_+^n)$ and $u_2 \in V(\Gamma_-^n)$. Using (4), (5) and their analogies for H_-^n , by induction on the distance from the vertex w_F , we can show that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} g^n(u) &\in [0, f^n(u)], \quad \forall u \in V(H_+^n) \\ g^n(u) &\in [f^n(u), 0], \quad \forall u \in V(H_-^n). \end{aligned}$$

So, by Lemma 2.3 we have $|g^n(u_1) - g^n(u_2)| = g^n(u_1) - g^n(u_2) \leq f^n(u_1) - f^n(u_2) \leq \varepsilon$.

1(b) Let $u_1 u_2 \in E(\Gamma_{\text{hor}}^n)$. Let for instance $u_1 \in V(\Gamma_+^n)$. We want to show that $|f^n(u_1) - g^n(u_1)| \leq \varepsilon$. Since $u_1 \in V(\Gamma_{\text{hor}}^n) \cap V(\Gamma_+^n)$, the edge-set $E(H_+^n)$ contains the edge $u_1 w_i$ such that $\lfloor f^n(u_1)/\varepsilon \rfloor = i$. Therefore H_+^n contains the path $w_F w_{F-1} \dots w_i u_1$, so $d(u_1) \leq F - i + 1$. Hence $g^n(u_1) = (F - d(u_1))\varepsilon \geq (i - 1)\varepsilon \geq f^n(u_1) - \varepsilon$. On the other hand, equation (6) implies that $g^n(u_1) \leq f^n(u_1)$ and the statement follows.

1(c) Let $u_1 u_2 \in E(\Gamma_{\text{vert}}^n)$. Let for instance $u_1 \in V(\Gamma_+^n)$. We want to show that $g^n(u_1) = 0$. If u_1 is not connected by a path to w_F , then by definition $g^n(u_1) = 0$.

So, let now $P = w_F \dots u_1$ be a path in H_+^n such that $d(u_1)$ is equal to its length. This path necessarily contains an edge of the form $w_i v$, where $v \in V(\Gamma_+^n) \cap V(\Gamma_{\text{hor}}^n)$. Let $w_i v$ be the last of all such edges on the path P , starting from w_F . That is, let v be such a vertex that the path P has the form $P = w_F \dots w_i v \dots u_1$ and its subpath $Q = v \dots u_1$ contains no vertices from the set $\{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_F\}$; that is, Q is a path in the original graph Γ^n . We have $v \in V(\Gamma_{\text{hor}}^n)$. On the other hand, by assumption, $u_1 \in V(\Gamma_{\text{vert}}^n)$. Therefore, if m is the length of Q then by Theorem 2.1 we have $m > l - 2 = F - 1$. So $(F - d(u_1))\varepsilon \leq (F - m)\varepsilon \leq 0$ and by the definition (5) of g^n we have $g^n(u_1) = 0$.

Point **2** of the statement follows from (6). $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *There exist functions $g, h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

- (1) $|f(x) - g \circ p(x) - h \circ q(x)| \leq 6\varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$ and
- (2) $\|g\| \leq \|f\|$ and $\|h\| \leq 2\|f\|$.

Proof. Let us choose n in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.4. First we define functions g and h on $p(V(\Gamma^n))$ and on $q(V(\Gamma^n))$, respectively, and then we extend them to $\mathbb{R}$.

For each point $x \in p(V(\Gamma^n))$ fix an arbitrary vertex $u \in V(\Gamma^n)$ which lies in the p -fiber of x , i.e. such that $p(u) = x$, and define

$$g(x) = g^n(u).$$

Similarly for each point $y \in q(V(\Gamma^n))$ fix an arbitrary vertex $u \in V(\Gamma^n)$ such that $q(u) = y$ and define

$$h(y) = f^n(u) - g^n(u).$$

Let $u \in V(\Gamma^n)$ be an arbitrary vertex. Let us show that $|f^n(u) - g \circ p(u) - h \circ q(u)| \leq 3\varepsilon$. Let $u_1, u_2 \in V(\Gamma^n)$ be the vertices such that $p(u_1) = p(u)$, $q(u_2) = q(u)$ and $g \circ p(u) = g^n(u_1)$, $h \circ q(u) = f^n(u_2) - g^n(u_2)$.

If $|u - u_1| < \delta$, then by condition **1(a)** of Theorem 2.4 we have $|g^n(u) - g^n(u_1)| \leq \varepsilon$ and by Lemma 2.3 we have $|f^n(u) - f^n(u_1)| \leq \varepsilon$. If $|u - u_1| \geq \delta$, then by condition **1(c)** of the Theorem 2.4 we have $g^n(u) = g^n(u_1) = 0$.

Similarly, if $|u - u_2| < \delta$ then we have $|g^n(u) - g^n(u_2)| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|f^n(u) - f^n(u_2)| \leq \varepsilon$, otherwise by condition **1(b)** we have both $|g^n(u) - f^n(u)| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|g^n(u_2) - f^n(u_2)| \leq \varepsilon$.

By considering all four combinations of these possibilities we see that indeed

$$(7) \quad |f^n(u) - g \circ p(u) - h \circ q(u)| \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

Let $u, v \in V(\Gamma^n)$ be two vertices, which are neighbors in the p -projection of the lattice, i.e. such that $p(u) + 1/2^n = p(v)$. Again, there are vertices $u_1, v_1 \in V(\Gamma^n)$ such that $p(u_1) = p(u)$, $p(v_1) = p(v)$ and $g \circ p(u) = g^n(u_1)$, $g \circ p(v) = g^n(v_1)$. Since u_1 and v_1 are neighbors in the vertical projection of the lattice as well, $u_1 v_1$ is a vertical edge in $E(\Gamma^n)$. If it is a short edge, then by condition **1(a)** of Theorem 2.4 we have $|g^n(u_1) - g^n(v_1)| \leq \varepsilon$ and if it is a long edge, then by condition **1(c)** we have $|g^n(u_1) - g^n(v_1)| = 0$. So in both cases

$$(8) \quad |g \circ p(u) - g \circ p(v)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Similarly, if $u, v \in V(\Gamma^n)$ are such that $q(u) + 1/2^n = q(v)$ then

$$(9) \quad |h \circ q(u) - h \circ q(v)| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

We extend g and h to $\mathbb{R}$ in the following way. Let us denote the vertical projection $p(V(\Gamma^n))$ of the vertex set by $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ with $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_m$; by the definition of Γ^n we have $|x_i - x_{i+1}| \geq 1/2^n$ for all n .

For each i such that $x_i + 1/2^n = x_{i+1}$, we extend g linearly on $[x_i; x_{i+1}]$ (between the values $g(x_i)$ and $g(x_{i+1})$).

Now, let i be such that $x_i + 1/2^n < x_{i+1}$. On $[x_i; x_i + 1/2^n]$ we extend g as a constant, equal to $g(x_i)$, and on $[x_i + 1/2^n; x_{i+1}]$ we extend g linearly. Note, that the definition of Γ^n implies that $p(K) \cap [x_i + 1/2^n; x_{i+1}] = \emptyset$, so we do not worry about the values of g on interval $[x_i + 1/2^n; x_{i+1}]$.

On $(-\infty; x_1]$ and $[x_m; \infty)$ we extend g as a constant.

We extend the function h to $\mathbb{R}$ similarly.

Hence g and h are continuous functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\|g\| \leq \|g^n\|$, $\|h\| \leq \|f^n\| + \|g^n\|$. By condition **2** of Theorem 2.4 we have $\|g^n\| \leq \|f^n\|$ and from the construction of f^n we have $\|f^n\| \leq \|f\|$. It follows that **2** of our statement holds true.

Let x be an arbitrary point from the set K . Let $u \in V(\Gamma^n)$ be a vertex such that x lies in the square $[p(u); p(u) + 1/2^n] \times [q(u); q(u) + 1/2^n]$. The definition of g and (8) imply that $|g \circ p(u) - g \circ p(x)| \leq \varepsilon$. Similarly the definition of h and (9) imply that $|h \circ q(u) - h \circ q(x)| \leq 2\varepsilon$. The choice of n and (1) imply that $|f^n(u) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$. Using (7) we finally obtain

$$|f(x) - g \circ p(x) - h \circ q(x)| \leq 6\varepsilon.$$

□

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.13, implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) of [Rud91].

Theorem 2.6. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a compact subset of the plane. If there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each function $f \in C(K)$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist functions $g', h' \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with*

- (1) $|f(x) - g' \circ p(x) - h' \circ q(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$ and
- (2) $\|g'\| \leq k\|f\|$ and $\|h'\| \leq k\|f\|$

then there exist functions $g, h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$f(x) = g \circ p(x) + h \circ q(x)$$

for all $x \in K$.

Proof of the main Theorem 1.2. Theorem 2.5 shows, that if a set K contains no array on three points then the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 are satisfied. Hence, the main result follows. □

3. ALTERNATIVE PROOF

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.2 suggested by an anonymous referee. Let a compactum $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ contain no array on three points. Then the fibers of p and q form an upper semi-continuous decomposition of the set K . Therefore we may consider the projection $\psi: K \rightarrow L$ to the factor space L . Let $f \in C(K)$. Let the *variation* of f on a subset A of K be the diameter of $f(A)$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and denote by F_p and F_q the union of the fibers of p and q , respectively, on which the variation of f is greater or equal than ε . Let $s_p, s_q: L \rightarrow [0; 1]$ be a partition of unity subordinated to the cover of L consisting of the sets $L \setminus \psi(F_p)$ and $L \setminus \psi(F_q)$, respectively. Define functions $f_p, f_q \in C(K)$ by letting $f_p(x) = f(x) \cdot s_p(\psi(x))$ and $f_q(x) = f(x) \cdot s_q(\psi(x))$. Then the variations of f_p on the fibers of p and of f_q on the fibers of q are less than ε and therefore there exist functions $g, h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $|f_p(x) - g \circ p(x)| < \varepsilon$ and $|f_q(x) - h \circ q(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$. Thus $|f(x) - g \circ p(x) - h \circ q(x)| < 2\varepsilon$ for all $x \in K$. The result follows from Theorem 2.6.

REFERENCES

- [Arn57] V. I. Arnold. On functions of three variables. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 114:679–681, 1957.
- [Arn58] V. I. Arnold. Problem 6. *Math. Education*, 3:273, 1958.

- [Arn59] V. I. Arnold. On the representation of continuous functions of three variables by superpositions of continuous functions of two variables. *Math. Sb. (N.S.)*, 48(90):3–74, 1959.
- [Kol56] A. N. Kolmogorov. On the representations of continuous functions of many variables by superpositions of continuous functions fewer variables. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 108:179–182, 1956.
- [Kol57] A. N. Kolmogorov. On the representations of continuous functions of many variables by superpositions of continuous functions of one variable and addition. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 114:953–956, 1957.
- [Kur00] V. Kurlin. Basic embeddings into a product of graphs. *Topology Appl.*, 102:113–137, 2000.
- [MKT03] N. Mramor-Kosta and E. Trenklerová. On basic embeddings of compacta into the plane. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 68(3):471–480, 2003.
- [Ost65] P. A. Ostrand. Dimension of metric spaces and Hilbert’s problem 13. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71:619–622, 1965.
- [Rud91] W. Rudin. *Functional analysis*. McGraw-Hill, Inc., U.S.A., 1991.
- [RŽ05] D. Repovš and M. Željko. On basic embeddings into the plane. To appear in *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 2005.
- [Sko95] A. Skopenkov. A description of continua basically embeddable in R^2 . *Topology Appl.*, 65:29–48, 1995.
- [Ste85] Y. Sternfeld. Dimension, superposition of functions and separation of points, in compact metric spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 50:13–52, 1985.
- [Ste89] Y. Sternfeld. Hilbert’s 13th problem and dimension. *Lect. Notes Math.*, 1376:1–49, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, P.J. ŠAFÁRIK UNIVERSITY, JESENÁ 5, 04001 KOŠICE, SLOVAKIA

E-mail address: `eva.trenklerova@upjs.sk`